

UDK 37

ISSN 2545 – 4439
ISSN 1857 - 923X

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

Institute of Knowledge Management

KNOWLEDGE



Scientific Papers
Vol. 20. 4.

MEDICAL SCIENCES
KNOWLEDGE IN PRACTICE



KIJ

Vol. 20

No. 4

pp. 1617 - 2147

Skopje 2017

Global Impact & Quality Factor

1.322 (2016)

<http://globalimpactfactor.com/knowledge-international-journal/>

KNOWLEDGE



KNOWLEDGE - INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
SCIENTIFIC PAPERS
VOL 20.4

Promoted in Bansko, Republic of Bulgaria

15-17.12.2017

INSTITUTE OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT

SKOPJE, MACEDONIA



KNOWLEDGE

International Journal Scientific papers Vol. 20.4

EDITORIAL BOARD

Vlado Kambovski PhD, Robert Dimitrovski PhD, Siniša Zarić PhD, Maria Kavdanska PhD, Venelin Terziev PhD, Mirjana Borota – Popovska PhD, Cezar Birzea PhD, Ljubomir Kekenovski PhD, Aleksandar Nikolovski PhD, Ivo Zupanovic, PhD, Savo Ashtalkoski PhD, Svetlana Trajković PhD, Zivota Radosavljević PhD, Laste Spasovski PhD, Mersad Mujevic PhD, Milenko Dzeletovic PhD, Margarita Koleva PhD, Nonka Mateva PhD, Rositsa Chobanova PhD, Predrag Trajković PhD, Dzulijana Tomovska PhD, Nedzat Koraljić PhD, Nebojsa Pavlović PhD, Nikolina Ognenska PhD, Lisen Bashkurti PhD, Trajce Dojcinovski PhD, Jana Merdzanova PhD, Zoran Srzentić PhD, Nikolai Sashkov Cankov PhD, Marija Kostic PhD

Print: GRAFOPROM – Bitola

Editor: IKM – Skopje

For editor

Robert Dimitrovski, PhD

KNOWLEDGE

International Journal Scientific Papers Vol. 20.4

ISSN 1857-923X for e - version

ISSN 2545-4439 for printed version

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

President: Academician Prof. Vlado Kambovski, PhD

Vice president: Prof. Robert Dimitrovski PhD, Institute of Knowledge Management - Skopje (Macedonia)

Members:

- Prof. Aleksandar Nikolovski PhD, FON University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Aleksandar Korablev PhD, Dean, Faculty for economy and management, Saint Petersburg State Forest Technical University, Saint Petersburg (Russian Federation)
- Prof. Azra Adjajlic – Dedovic PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Antoanela Hristova PhD, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Anita Trajkovska PhD, Rochester University (USA)
- Prof. Anka Trajkovska-Petkoska PhD, UKLO, Faculty of technology and technical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Alisabri Sabani PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Ahmad Zakeri PhD, University of Wolver Hampton, (United Kingdom)
- Prof. Ana Dzumalieva PhD, South-West University “Neofit Rilski”, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Branko Sotirov PhD, University of Rousse, Rousse (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Branko Boshkovic, PhD, College of Sports and Health, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Branimir Kampl PhD, Institute SANO, Zagreb (Croatia)
- Prof. Baki Koleci PhD, University Hadzi Zeka, Peja (Kosovo)
- Prof. Branislav Simonovic PhD, Faculty of Law, Kragujevac (Serbia)
- Prof. Bistra Angelovska, Faculty of Medicine, University “Goce Delcev”, Shtip (Macedonia)
- Prof. Cezar Birzea, PhD, National School for Political and Administrative Studies, Bucharest (Romania)
- Prof. Cvetko Andreevski, Faculty of Tourism, UKLO, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Drago Cvijanovic, PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
- Prof. Dusan Ristic, PhD Emeritus, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Novi Sad (Serbia)
- Prof. Dimitar Radev, PhD, Rector, University of Telecommunications and Post, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Daniela Todorova PhD, Rector of “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Dragan Kokovic PhD, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad (Serbia)
- Prof. Dragan Marinkovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Daniela Ivanova Popova PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Dzulijana Tomovska, PhD, Dean, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Evgenia Penkova-Pantaleeva PhD, UNWE -Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Emilija Djikić Jovanović, PhD, High School of Arts and Technology, Leskovac (Serbia)
- Prof. Erzika Antic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies “Hipokrat”, Bujanovac (Serbia)

- Prof. Georgi Georgiev PhD, National Military University “Vasil Levski”, Veliko Trnovo (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Helmut Shramke PhD, former Head of the University of Vienna Reform Group (Austria)
- Prof. Hristina Georgieva Yancheva, PhD, Rector, Agricultural University, Plovdiv (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Hristo Beloev PhD, Bulgarian Academy of Science, Rector of the University of Rousse (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Izet Zeqiri, PhD, Academic, SEEU, Tetovo (Macedonia)
- Prof. Ivan Marchevski, PhD, Rector, D.A. Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
- Doc. Igor Stubelj, PhD, Faculty of Management, Primorska University, Koper (Slovenia)
- Prof. Ivan Petkov PhD, Rector, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Isa Spahiu PhD, AAB University, Prishtina (Kosovo)
- Prof. Ivana Jelik PhD, University of Podgorica, Faculty of Law, Podgorica (Montenegro)
- Prof. Islam Hasani PhD, Kingston University (Bahrein)
- Prof. Jova Ateljevic PhD, Faculty of Economy, University of Banja Luka, (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Jove Kekenovski PhD, Faculty of Tourism, UKLO , Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Jonko Kunchev PhD, University „Cernorizec Hrabar“ - Varna (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Jelena Stojanovic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies “Hipokrat”, Bujanovac (Serbia)
- Prof Karl Schopf, PhD, Akademie fur wissenschaftliche forchung und studium, Wien (Austria)
- Prof. Katerina Belichovska, PhD, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Krasimir Petkov, PhD, National Sports Academy “Vassil Levski”, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Kamal Al-Nakib PhD, College of Business Administration Department, Kingdom University (Bahrain)
- Prof. Lidija Tozi PhD, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Laste Spasovski PhD, Vocational and educational centre, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Lujza Grueva, PhD, Faculty of Medical Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Lisen Bashkurti PhD, Global Vice President of Sun Moon University (Albania)
- Prof. Lence Mircevska PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
- Prof. Ljubomir Kekenovski PhD, Faculty of Economisc, UKIM, Skope (Macedonia)
- Prof. Ljupce Kocovski PhD, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Marusya Lyubcheva PhD, University “Prof. Asen Zlatarov”, Member of the European Parliament, Burgas (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Maria Kavdanska PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, South-West University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Maja Lubenova Cholakova PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Mirjana Borota-Popovska, PhD, Centre for Management and Human Resource Development, Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Mihail Garevski, PhD, Institute of Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Seismology, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Misho Hristovski PhD, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (Macedonia)

- Prof. Mitko Kotovchevski, PhD, Faculty of Philosophy, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Milan Radosavljevic PhD, Dean, Faculty of strategic and operational management, Union University, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Marija Topuzovska-Latkovikj, PhD, Centre for Management and Human Resource Development, Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Marija Knezevic PhD, Academic, Banja Luka, (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
- Prof. Margarita Koleva, PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Margarita Bogdanova PhD, D.A.Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Mahmut Chelik PhD, Faculty of Philology, University “Goce Delchev”, Shtip (Macedonia)
- Prof. Marija Mandaric PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
- Prof. Mustafa Kacar PhD, Euro College, Istanbul (Turkey)
- Prof. Marina Simin PhD, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)
- Prof. Miladin Kalinic, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)
- Prof. Mitre Stojanovski PhD, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Miodrag Smelcerovic PhD, High Technological and Artistic Vocational School, Leskovac (Serbia)
- Prof. Nenad Taneski PhD, Military Academy “Mihailo Apostolski”, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Nevenka Tatkovic PhD, Juraj Dobrila University of Pula, Pula (Croatia)
- Prof. Natalija Kirejenko PhD, Faculty For economic and Business, Institute of Entrepreneurial Activity, Minsk (Belarus)
- Prof. Nikolay Georgiev PhD, “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Nikolina Ognenska PhD, Faculty of Music, SEU - Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Nedzat Korajlic PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Nishad M. Navaz PhD, Kingdom University (India)
- Prof. Oliver Iliev PhD, Faculty of Communication and IT, FON University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Oliver Dimitrijevic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies “Hipokrat”, Bujanovac (Serbia)
- Prof. Paul Sergius Koku, PhD, Florida State University, Florida (USA)
- Prof. Primoz Dolenc, PhD, Faculty of Management, Primorska University, Koper (Slovenia)
- Prof. Predrag Trajkovic PhD, JMPNT, Vranje (Serbia)
- Prof. Petar Kolev PhD, “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Pere Tumbas PhD, Faculty of Economics, University of Novi Sad, Subotica (Serbia)
- Prof. Rade Ratkovic PhD, Faculty of Business and Tourism, Budva (Montenegro)
- Prof. Rositsa Chobanova PhD, University of Telecommunications and Posts, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Rumen Valcovski PhD, Imunolab Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Rumen Stefanov PhD, Dean, Faculty of public health, Medical University of Plovdiv (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Sinisa Zaric, PhD, Faculty of Economics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Sasho Korunoski, Rector, UKLO, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Sashko Plachkov PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)

- Prof. Sofronija Miladinovski, PhD, University Hadzi Zeka, Peja (Kosovo)
- Prof. Sreten Miladinovski, PhD, Dean, Faculty of Law, MIT University (Skopje)
- Prof. Snezhana Lazarevic, PhD, College of Sports and Health, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Stojan Ivanov PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Svetlana Trajkovic PhD, High School of applied professional studies, Vranje (Serbia)
- Prof. Snezana Stoilova, PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
- Prof. Stojna Ristevska PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
- Prof. Suzana Pavlovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Saad Motahhir PhD, High School of Technology, Fez (Morocco)
- Prof. Sandra Zivanovic, PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
- Prof. Trayan Popkochev PhD, Dean, Faculty of Pedagogy, South-West University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Todor Krystevich, Vice Rector, D.A. Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
- Doc. Tatyana Sobolieva PhD, State Higher Education Establishment Vadiym Getman Kiyev National Economic University, Kiyev (Ukraine)
- Prof. Tzako Pantaleev PhD, NBUniversity, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Tosko Krstev PhD, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Tihomir Domazet PhD, President of the Croatian Institute for Finance and Accounting, Zagreb (Croatia)
- Prof. Venelin Terziev PhD, University of Rousse, Rousse (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Violeta Dimova PhD, Faculty of Philology, University “Goce Delchev”, Shtip (Macedonia)
- Prof. Volodymyr Denysyuk, PhD, Dobrov Center for Scientific and Technological Potential and History studies at the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (Ukraine)
- Prof. Valentina Staneva PhD, “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Vladimir Tomošević, PhD dekan, Fakultet za inženjerski menadžment, Univerzitet Union "Nikola Tesla", Beograd, (Srbija)
- Prof. Vladimir Lazarov PhD, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Vasil Zecev PhD, College of tourism, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Venus Del Rosario PhD, Arab Open University (Philippines)
- Prof. Yuri Doroshenko PhD, Dean, Faculty of Economics and Management, Belgorod (Russian Federation)
- Prof. Zlatko Pejkov, PhD, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Zivota Radosavljevic PhD, Dean, Faculty FORCUP, Union University, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Zoya Katru PhD, Prorector, Euro College, Istanbul (Turkey)
- Prof. Zorka Jugovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

- Robert Dimitrovski PhD, Faculty of Management, MIT University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Venelin Terziev PhD, University of Rousse (Bulgaria)
- Maria Kavdanska PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, South West University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Sinisa Zaric, PhD, Faculty of Economics, University of Belgrade (Serbia)
- Snežana Milićević PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjačka Banja (Serbia)
- Evdokia Petkova, South West University “Neofit Rilski”, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Marios Miltiadou, PhD, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (Greece)
- Azra Adjajlic – Dedovic PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Misho Hristovski PhD, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Branko Boskovic PhD, College of Sports and Health, Belgrade (Bulgaria)
- Ana Dzumalievova PhD, South-West University “Neofit Rilski”, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Georgi Georgiev PhD, National Military University “Vasil Levski”, Veliko Trnovo (Bulgaria)
- Isa Spahiu PhD, International Balkan University (Macedonia)
- Hatidza Berisha, Military Academy, University of Defense, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Violeta Dimova, PhD, University “Goce Delcev”, Stip (Macedonia)
- Mirjana Borota – Popovska, Centre for Management and Human Resource Development, Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Izet Zeqiri, PhD, South East European University, Tetovo (Skopje)
- Ekaterina Arabska, PhD, Vasil Levski National Military University, Veliko Tarnovo (Bulgaria)
- Nebojsa Cvetanovski, PhD, MIT University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Rumen Valcovski PhD, Imunolab Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Miladin Kalinic, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)

Contents

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF HEALTH EDUCATION SYLLABI FOR FUTURE KINDERGARTEN TEACHERS' TRAINING	1639
Svetlana Angelova	1639
C-REACTIVE PROTEIN -BIOMARKER OF COMORBIDITIES IN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE	1647
Liljana Simonovska	1647
Iva Paneva.....	1647
A CONCEPTUAL MODEL OF WEB-BASED EDUCATION QUALITY ASSESSMENT SYSTEM IN MEDICAL HIGHER SCHOOLS	1653
Kristina Kilova.....	1653
Tanya Kitova.....	1653
Antonia Yaneva	1653
Teodora Dimcheva.....	1653
Zhivko Peychev	1653
Desislava Bakova.....	1653
Nonka Mateva.....	1653
ACUTE CORONARY SYNDROME – EARLY SURGICAL RESULTS	1661
Zaprin Vazhev.....	1661
Hristo Stoev	1661
Asen Ivanov	1661
Todor Gonovski	1661
Yordanka Tsokova	1661
AN APPROACH TO A CHILD WITH RESPIRATORY TRACT INFECTION	1667
Arlind Alili.....	1667
Alba Alili	1667
Adelina Alili.....	1667
Tauland Muharemi.....	1667
ANALYSIS OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE „STUDENT PRACTICE“ PROJECT IN THE MEDICAL UNIVERSITY - PLOVDIV FOR THE PERIOD 2013-2015 Y.....	1671
Zhivko Peychev	1671
Mihaela Shishmanova-Doseva.....	1671
Stela Peycheva	1671
Teodora Dimcheva.....	1671
Antonia Yaneva	1671
Kristina Kilova.....	1671
Angelina Kirkova.....	1671
ANATOMICAL VARIATIONS OF FORAMEN OVALE	1677
Svetlana Jovevska	1677
Lence Nikolovska	1677
ANTITUMOR ACTIVITY EVALUATION OF 4-THIAZOLIDINE DERIVATIVES	1681
Stefan Harkov	1681
Vanya Pepelyasheva	1681
APPLICATION IN THE CLINICAL PRACTICE OF A MODIFIED MODEL FOR EARLY POSTOPERATIVE RECOVERY	1689
Stefka Georgieva.....	1689

Mariya Dimitrova.....	1689
Tanya Popova.....	1689
Dimitrinka Blagoeva.....	1689
APPLICATION OF SPECIALIZED KINESITHERAPEUTIC METHODS IN CHILDREN WITH CEREBRAL PALSY	1695
Margarita Valerieva Avramova	1695
BACTERIAL RESISTANCE IN HOSPITALIZED PATIENTS.....	1701
Marija Darkovska-Serafimovska	1701
Tijana Serafimovska	1701
Vaso Taleski.....	1701
BIOCHEMICAL MARKERS OF BONE METABOLISM, OSTEOPOROSIS PREVENTION AND PROPHYLACTICS	1709
Pavlina Teneva.....	1709
Mariana Staneva.....	1709
Ivelina Dobрева.....	1709
Rumyana Sherletova	1709
BLOOD TYPES AND SUICIDE RATES IN THE REGION OF PLOVDIV, REPUBLIC OF BULGARIA	1713
Marin Kostadinov Baltov.....	1713
Ekaterina Lyubenova Raykova	1713
Vanina Krasteva Mihaylova	1713
Iliya Petrov Bivolarski	1713
BRUXISM – TEETH GRINDING.....	1719
Katerina Zlatanovska	1719
Ivona Kovacevska.....	1719
Natasa Longurova	1719
Sandra Atanasova.....	1719
Mihajlo Petrovski.....	1719
CHALLENGES AND CHANGES IN RELATIONS BETWEEN FITNESS TRAINER AND FITNESS AMATEUR IN THE TECHNOLOGY CENTURY	1725
Ivan Nedelchev	1725
COMPARISON OF HEMODINAMIC PROFILE AND ARTERIAL STIFFNESS IN STUDENTS OF MEDICINE, TRAINING FOOTBALL AND PHYSICALLY INACTIVE OBJECTS.....	1729
M.Bacelova	1729
J.Nikolova	1729
K. Kanalev	1729
P. Nikolov	1729
K. Kableshev.....	1729
P. Krastev.....	1729
CURRENT DIRECTIONS IN PROVIDING HEALTHY AND SAFE WORKING CONDITIONS IN MEDICAL UNIVERSITY - PLOVDIV	1735
Mariya Pavlova	1735
DENTAL CHARACTERISTICS IN BULIMIC PATIENTS.....	1741
Natasha Longurova	1741
Ivona Kovachevska.....	1741
Nikola Denkov	1741

Katerina Zlatanovska	1741
Verica Toneva.....	1741
DOCTORS AND CLERICS IN IMPLEMENTING THE PATIENT CARE IN CLINICAL SETTINGS	1745
P. Asparuhova.....	1745
E. Jeleva.....	1745
N. Yordanov.....	1745
ENHANCING PERSONAL AND PUBLIC WELL BEING THROUGH EVIDENCE BASED ARTTHERAPY	1751
T. Shekerdzhieva-Nowak.....	1751
L. Despotova-Toleva.....	1751
EPIDEMIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF PEOPLE WITH CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES IN THE REGION OF SHTIP	1759
Milka Zdravkovska	1759
Bisera Stojmenova – Runteva	1759
Marija Darkovska-Serafimovska	1759
ESSENTIAL TRACE ELEMENTS - CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE AND LABORATORY METHODS	1765
Delyana Davcheva	1765
ESTABLISHMENT AND INTRODUCTION OF THE WEB-BASED INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR PREVENTION AND DIAGNOSIS OF bURNOUT SYNDROME	1771
Stanislava Harizanova.....	1771
Rumyana Stoyanova	1771
EVALUATION AND MANAGEMENT OF A CHILD WITH FEBRILE SEIZURE	1775
Leartha Alili Ademi	1775
Blerim Ademi.....	1775
EXPLORATION OF THE IMPACT OF NOISE ON STUDENTS WITH IMPROVED DIFFICULTIES AND THE EFFECT OF A KINESITEPETPETICAL PROGRAM FOR OVERCOMING OF THEIR SIDE EFFECTS	1779
Mariana Albert	1779
FECULENT PERITONITIS	1783
Boyko Atanasov.....	1783
Nikolay Belev	1783
GESTATION DIABETES AND IMPORTANCE OF DIAGNOSE DURING THE PREGNANCY, EXPERIENCE OF CLINIC FOR GYNECOLOGY AND OBSTETRICS IN SKOPJE IN THE PERIOD FROM 2013 TO 2015.....	1787
Biljana Gjorgjeska	1787
Vesna Mladenovska.....	1787
GLOBAL CAMPAIGN FOR SAFE INJECTION PRACTICES – K1-AD – THE SMART SYRINGES	1793
Yovka Zlatanova.....	1793
Nedyalka Boycheva	1793
Tsvetomila Valcheva	1793
IMPACT OF LEARNING CURVE ON LAPAROSCOPIC APPENDECTOMIES	1799
Boyko Atanasov.....	1799
Nikolay Belev	1799

INNOVATIVE APPROACHES IN THE PREPARATION OF MANAGEMENT DISCIPLINES OF MANAGERIAL STAFF IN PUBLIC HEALTH	1803
Vanina Mihaylova.....	1803
Mariana Lyochkova	1803
Maria Semerdzhieva	1803
Adolf Alakidi	1803
Dimitar Shopov.....	1803
INTERRELATION BETWEEN OXIDATIVE STRESS AND DISEASES RELATED TO INSULIN RESISTANCE	1811
Desislava Arabadzhyska	1811
Dora Terzieva.....	1811
KINESITHERAPY FOR CHILDREN WITH GENERALIZED JOINT HYPERMOBILITY (GJH) ..	1817
Snezhina Georgieva	1817
MANAGEMENT IN HEALTHCARE	1823
Oliver Dimitijević	1823
MANAGEMENT AND LEADERSHIP IN A HEALTH ORGANIZATION FOR OUTPATIENT CARE	1827
Radka Goranova- Spasova.....	1827
Mila Moskova	1827
Nadejda Kostova- Kamburova.....	1827
MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC DISEASES - DEFINITION AND PRINCIPLES	1833
Boryana Levterova.....	1833
MANAGEMENT OF HEALTHCARE IN CHRONICAL VASCULAR DISEASES.....	1839
Mihaela Mireva.....	1839
Milan Tsekov	1839
Mariana Albert.....	1839
Aleksandra Zdravkova-Maleva.....	1839
MANAGER QUALIFICATION AS CONDITION FOR QUALITY OF THE HEALTHCARE	1843
Kamelia Bogdanova.....	1843
Evgeni Ivanov	1843
Zhuljeta Gerenova.....	1843
MARKETING IN HEALTH SERVICES IN KOSOVO.....	1849
Nadire Loku	1849
MEDICAL AND SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS OF PATIENTS WITH PRIMARY ENDOPROSTHESIS OF SHOULDER JOINT	1855
Kevork Kaykchian	1855
METABOLIC SYNDROME IN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE: FREQUENCY AND RELATIONSHIP WITH CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS.....	1861
Adem Musliu	1861
Bekim Mustafa.....	1861
Arber Musliu.....	1861
MIDDLE HIGHT MOUNTAIN TREATMENT BY PATIENTS WITH BRONHIAL ASTHMA.....	1869
Rumyana Bahchevandzhieva	1869
MOTIVATION FOR SELF-MONITORING, SOCOCONTROL AND AUTOLOGY IN PATIENTS WITH SUGAR DIABETES	1873
Varvara Pancheva	1873

NECESSITY OF COMMUNICATION SKILLS TRAINING OF MEDICAL NURSES AS A POST GRADUATE EDUCATION	1879
Julieta Gerenova.....	1879
Todor Dimitrov	1879
Evgeni Dimitrov.....	1879
NITRATES AS A RISK FACTOR FOR METHAEMOGLOBINAEMIA.....	1883
Ivelina Dobрева.....	1883
Pavlina Teneva.....	1883
Petya Krumova.....	1883
NUTRITIONAL NEEDS OF FORCES FOR EXPEDITIONARY OPERATIONS	1887
AT A NATIONAL TRAINING	1887
Pavlin Glushkov	1887
Stefan Filipov.....	1887
Nikolay Stefanov	1887
OBSERVATIONS ON AN APPROBATION OF A COURSE BOOK OF BULGARIAN LANGUAGE FOR STUDENTS IN MEDICINE, DENTAL MEDICINE AND PHARMACY AT PLOVDIV MEDICAL UNIVERSITY	1895
Lidiya Kavrakova.....	1895
Milena Dineva-Muleshkova.....	1895
OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY WITH CHILDREN AND YOUTH PEOPLE WITH MENTAL DISORDERS – PROBLEM AREAS	1901
Nikola Sabev	1901
Kiril Panayotov	1901
Yoana Negrova	1901
ORAL HEALTH CARE AT STUDENTS FROM DIFFERENT FACULTIES	1907
Ivona Kovachevska	1907
Natasha Longurova	1907
Katerina Zlatanovska	1907
Mihajlo Petrovski.....	1907
Zlatko Georgiev	1907
ORAL HYGIENE CARE FOR PATIENTS WITH GASTROINTESTINAL DISEASE.....	1913
Ivona Kovacevska.....	1913
Verica Toneva.....	1913
Aleksandra Toneva	1913
Sandra Atanasova.....	1913
Darko Kochovski	1913
ORTHOGONAL FREQUENCY DIVISION MULTIPLEXING (OFDM) METHODS FOR THE MULTIPLEXING IN WORLDWIDE INTEROPERABILITY FOR MICROWAVE ACCESS (WIMAX)	1919
Amet Shabani.....	1919
Besnik Qehaja	1919
OVERCOMING OCCUPATIONAL STRESS IN THE PERSPECTIVE OF NURSES IN MANAGEMENT POSITION.....	1925
Zlatina Lecheva.....	1925
Lora Georgieva	1925

PATIENT AWARENESS FOR THE UPCOMING PROCEDURES AND EMPATHY TOWARDS THEM	1931
Julieta Gerenova.....	1931
PATIENT EDUCATION IN THE FOOT CARE WITH ONYCHOCRYPTOSIS -	1935
AN IMPORTANT CONDITION FOR CARE AND PREVENTION	1935
Binnaz Asanova	1935
Katya Savova	1935
PHARMACOTHERAPEUTIC CONSIDERATIONS FOR USE OF ANNABINOID TO RELIEVE SYMPTOMS OF NAUSEA AND VOMITING INDUCED BY CYTOTOXIC THERAPY	1939
Marija Darkovska-Serafimovska	1939
Tijana Serafimovska	1939
Vaso Taleski.....	1939
Milka Zdravkovska	1939
Biljana Gjorgeska.....	1939
Trajan Balkanov.....	1939
PREVENTION AND DENTAL TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH EPILEPSY	1945
Sanja Nashkova.....	1945
Sandra Atanasova.....	1945
Verica Toneva.....	1945
PSYCHOLOGICAL ACCENTS IN ONCOLOGICAL PRACTICE.....	1951
Silvia Tcvetkova	1951
Daniela Petrova.....	1951
PSYCHOLOGICAL DIMENSIONS OF COPING WITH THE FEAR OF DEATH AMONG MEDICAL SPECIALISTS	1957
Nikola Georgiev.....	1957
Polina Balkanska.....	1957
PSYCHOSOCIAL SITUATION OF DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS WITH SENSORY AND MOTOR DISABILITIES IN THE GENERAL AND SPECIAL EDUCATION	1963
Tzvetomira Angelova.....	1963
QUALITY OF LIFE ASSESSMENT FROM PATIENTS WITH MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS.....	1971
Todor Dimitrov	1971
REFRACTORY ANOMALIES ON PRESCHOOL CHILDREN IN STIP	1977
Gazepov Strahil.....	1977
Panova Gordana	1977
Dimitrova Kristina	1977
Dodevska Biljana	1977
REHABILITATION OF FRACTURES IN CHILDREN'S AGE	1987
Lence Nikolovska	1987
Robert Milenkovski	1987
Tose Krstev	1987
Svetlana Jovevska	1987
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN AEROBIC EFFICIENCY OF THE ATHLETES AND BLOOD LIPID PEROXIDATION.....	1991
Albena Alexandrova	1991
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ANTIOXIDANT ENZYMES AND INSULIN RESISTANCE IN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC LIVER DISEASE	1997

Dimitar Terziev	1997
ROLE OF THE THEATRE IN THE EDUCATION OF STUDENTS IN THE SPECIALTY "MEDICAL AESTHETICIAN"	2003
Binnaz Assanova.....	2003
SELF-CONTROL OF PATIENTS WITH DIABETES UNDER INSULIN THERAPY	2007
A. Trajkovska – Dimitrova	2007
T. Dimitrov	2007
G. Tchaneva	2007
SEROUS MICROCYSTIC CYSTADENOMA (SMCA) OF THE PANCREAS	2011
N. Belev	2011
B. Atanasov	2011
E. Moshecov	2011
SOCIO-EMOTIONAL SKILLS OF UNIVERSITY STUDENTS – SPORTS PEDAGOGUES	2015
Nevyana Dokova.....	2015
Stefan Kinov	2015
SOURCES OF DIFFICULTIES OF PARENTS OF CHILDREN WITH MENTAL DISORDERS	2021
Ivana Rašković.....	2021
Aleksandra Đurić-Zdravković.....	2021
Mirjana Japundža-Milisavljević.....	2021
STANDARD TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH BURNS IN EMERGENCY HOSPITAL CENTERS	2027
Anushka Dimitrova.....	2027
Mariya Dimitrova.....	2027
Petya Kantareva	2027
Miloslava Ilieva	2027
SURGICAL TRAUMA CAUSE FOR MARGINAL BONE RESORPTION AROUND DENTAL IMPLANTS PREVIOUS TO PROSTHETIC APPLIANCES	2035
Kiro Papakoca.....	2035
Sonja Rogoleva	2035
Ljupka Lazarova	2035
Olivera Terzieva-Petrovska.....	2035
Mihajlo Petrovski.....	2035
THE METHODOLOGY OF HEALTH CARE EDUCATION AND TRAINING FOR THE PREPARATION OF THE MEDICAL SPECIALISTS.....	2041
E. Jeleva	2041
P. Asparuhova	2041
N. Yordanov.....	2041
THE PRESENCE OF IRREGULAR ANTIBODIES OF THE LEWIS SYSTEM IN BLOOD OF PREGNANT WOMEN.....	2045
Dragan Radonjić	2045
Duško Kljakić	2045
Snežana Filipović.....	2045
Stefan Grujičić	2045
Milenka Vraneš-Grujičić	2045
THE PREVALENCE OF POSTURAL DISORDERS AND SPINAL DEFORMITIES AMONG THE PUPILS IN THE MUNICIPALITY OF BEROVO	2051

Lence Nikolovska	2051
Timko Neshovski	2051
Tose Krstev	2051
Tamara Stratorska	2051
THE PROCESS OF FORMATION OF HEALTH COMPETENCIES OF GERIATRIC PROFESSIONALS - CHALLENGES,REGULATIONS, PERSPECTIVES	2057
Hristina Milcheva.....	2057
Petya Krumova.....	2057
Rumyana Sherletova	2057
TRAINING AND PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCIES OF THE NURSE	2063
Stefka Georgieva.....	2063
Petya Kantareva	2063
Antoaneta Terzieva	2063
Anushka Dimitrova.....	2063
TRAINING FOR PLANNING AND SOLUTIONS FOR CLIENTS	2069
WITH ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE.....	2069
Vanya Dineva.....	2069
VAC THERAPY IN THE TREATMENT AND PROPHYLAXIS OF WOUND INFECTION	2075
Nikolay Belev	2075
VALIDATION OF THE BULGARIAN TRANSLATION OF THE PATIENT ASSESSMENT OF CHRONIC ILLNESS CARE (PACIC 5As) SURVEY	2079
Teodora Dimcheva.....	2079
Nonka Mateva.....	2079
Antonia Yaneva	2079
Zhivko Peychev	2079
Kristina Kilova.....	2079
НЕПРАВИЛНИ МАНУАЛНИ УМЕНИЯ ЗА ПИСАНЕ ПРИ ДЕЦА СЪС СПЕЦИФИЧНО ЕЗИКОВО НАРУШЕНИЕ.....	2085
Лилия Янчева -Велинова.....	2085
Мартин Маринов.....	2085
NOMOPHOBIA OR MOBILE PHONE ADDICTION	2091
Ljiljana Desević	2091
Stefan Grujić	2091
Milenka Vraneš-Grujić	2091
STANDARD COMPUTERIZED PERIMETRY IN FUNCTION OF DIAGNOSTIC GLAUCOMA..	2095
Strahil Gazepov.....	2095
Iljaz Ismaili	2095
Elena Lichkova	2095
Gordana Panova	2095
Verica Stoimenova.....	2095
Emilija Goshevska Dashevskaa.....	2095
THALASSOTHERAPEUTIC FACTORS IN TREATMENT AND REHABILITATION OF CHILDREN WITH CHRONIC RESPIRATORY DISEASES	2101
Snezhina Georgieva	2101
THE PROBLEM OF IRRATIONAL USE AND DISABILITY OF FRESH FROZEN PLASMA IN OUR PRACTICE	2105

Dragan Radonjić	2105
Mirjana Varjačić	2105
Gordana Šošilj	2105
Snežana Filipović	2105
Stefan Grujičić	2105
XEN IMPLANT AND FAKOEMULSYPHICATION	2109
Strahil Gazepov	2109
Topov A.	2109
Gordana Panova	2109
Gjorgji Shumanov	2109
REFERENCES	2112
DISCOURSE ANALYSIS IN THE TEXT OF RESTORATIVE DENTISTRY	2113
Djukica Mirkovic	2113
Tijana Vasiljevic – Stokic	2113
Miodrag Smelcerovic	2113
THE ROLE OF THE NURSE IN OBSTETRICS	2119
Panova Gordana	2119
Shumanov Gj.	2119
Gazepov S.	2119
Dzidrova V.	2119
Dimova T.	2119
Petrova M.	2119
УЛОГАТА НА ПАТРОНАЖНАТА СЕСТРА ПРИ ЕДУКАЦИЈА И ТРЕТМАН НА ПАЦИЕНТИ СО ДИЈАБЕТЕС	2127
Ивана Тренчева	2127
Гордана Панова	2127
SCREENING OF GLAUCOMA ON 2015 YEAR	2135
Iljaz Ismaili	2135
Emilija Gjosheska-Dashtevska	2135
Nadi Rustemi	2135
Hristijan Duma	2135
Strahil Gazepov	2135
Gazmend Mehmedi	2135
ФУНКЦИОНИРАНЕ ПСИХИЧНАТА ЗАЩИТНА ДОМИНАНТА НА ОБВИНЯЕМИЯ ПРИ ПРОВЕЖДАНЕТО НА РАЗПИТ В РАМКИТЕ НА НАКАЗАТЕЛНИЯ ПРОЦЕС	2143
Христо Иванов Попниколов	2143

REFRACTORY ANOMALIES ON PRESCHOOL CHILDREN IN STIP

Gazepov Strahil

Clinical Hospital Stip, Medical Sciences State University of “Goce Delcev” Stip

Panova Gordana

Clinical Hospital Stip, Medical Sciences State University of “Goce Delcev” Stip

gordana.panova@ugd.edu.mk

Dimitrova Kristina

Clinical Hospital Stip, Medical Sciences State University of “Goce Delcev” Stip

Dodevska Biljana

Clinical Hospital Stip, Medical Sciences State University of “Goce Delcev” Stip

Abstract: Introduction: The refractive anomalies are the most common vision disorders that affect children. For pre-school children, amblyopia and the amblyogenic risk factors, like strabismus and significant refractive errors are the most prevalent and most significant vision disorders. The early diagnosis of these disorders allows for an intervention at a time in which treatment and further development prevention are highly possible.

Patients and methods: In a period between January 15th and April 15th in 2016, within the screening program for vision disorders at pre-school children in Shtip, 890 children were examined during their stay at kinder garden. The screening was done with a 2WIN mobile binocular refractometer intended for detecting refractive errors, vision anomalies and measuring pupil parameters.

Purpose: The goal of this study is to determining the prevalence of vision disorders at pre-school children in Shtip, identifying, early diagnosis and treatment of the vision disorders and also determining the need and benefit of regular pre-school screening program for vision disorders.

Results: Abnormalities of the measured parameters are detected within 45.17% of the examined children. Refractive anomalies are detected within 13.37% of all children. 0.56% of all have hyperopia, 1.46% have myopia and 12.13% have astigmatism. Anisometropia >1D was detected within 2.47%, while gaze deviation >4.6° was detected within 34.46%.

Conclusion: The screening of pre-school children allowed for an early diagnosis and treatment of refractive errors and amblyogenic factors. With early treatment, amblyopia can be prevented, the life quality can be improved and better academic achievements can be made.

Keywords: epidemiology, Shtip, pre-school age, refractive anomalies, screening

1. INTRODUCTION

Refractory errors occur in a significant proportion of the world population, affecting both sexes and all ages. When it comes to affected children, refractive error and visual disturbances have a significant impact in terms of their education, future employment and social well-being throughout life. Children can not complain of symptoms associated with their eyes and not understand that they see no good, but with the active search and early detection of ocular abnormalities, ocular findings may indicate the presence and serious conditions (expl. Retinoblastoma) early detection these situations can save lives.

Although eye examinations in the neonatal period are important, they can not predict the occurrence of conditions which often occur after infancy as accommodative esotropija. By then they could develop additional vision problems, and children three years already beginning to acquire communication skills that could enable them to be examined by methods that are used for eye examination in adults. Therefore, eye exams/screenings are recommended before the age of three. An additional advantage of the eye examination in this age group is that it allows intervention in times when problems are highly susceptible to treatment.

Britain, Sweden, the Netherlands and some East European countries already have population-based eye screening programs for children. Assessment of visual acuity at the age of 4 years (preschool) is the most common. 2 In the UK, 74% to 80% of orthoptichkite departments provide some kind of preduchilipen eye screening, with most of skrininzite (88%) were implemented at the 3-4 year olds. In the US, it is estimated that 21% of children spend their preschool screening.^[1]

In a study designed to assess the prevalence of refractory errors and associated visual disorders among school children in urban population in New Delhi, India (2002), it observed that: 81.7% of cases of visual impairment were

caused by refractory errors, amblyopia was represented by 4.4%, retinal disorders with 4.7% and other causes in 3.3% and unknown etiology in the remaining 5.9%.^[4]

In addition to the need for preschool screening program testify and study visual disorders among 7-year-olds with and without previous eye screening (Sweden, 1978), which found that the risk of discovery of new significant visual impairment in prvooddelenchinja is 6 times greater for a child who has not been examined in his earlier years and the risk of detection ambliopichno child increased by more than 10 times.^[16]

The lack of universal and age appropriate pre-screening program continues to contribute to unacceptable prevalence of permanent loss of vision disorders such as amblyopia, most of which are reversible if detected and treated early.^[1]

In Republic of Macedonia, it has no policy or regular program of pre-school eye screening.

The purpose of this pilot study was to determine the prevalence of disturbances in the pediatric population of preschool age in Stip, identification and early diagnosis of children with visual impairment and determining the need for and benefits of introducing a regular preschool screening program for visual disorders.

2. TOPICS AND CHAPTERS

2.1. Visual acuity. A visual acuity measure of the smallest object which the person can recognize a certain distance of the eye. The measurement of visual acuity at far should be performed in all children as soon as possible before the age of 3 because of the importance of early detection of amblyopia (poor vision).^[7]

General concepts:

Minimum visibile. The smallest amount of light energy that falls receptor and is able to cause excitation, known as minimum visibile (minimum of provenances) minimum vidnosta except the amount of light that enters the eye, depends on the natural sensitivity of the photoreceptors which it is not the same for all people.

Minimum separabile. Smallest prominent corner in which two objects can not be seen as separate, called minimum separation. Minimum separation depends on the number of receptors per unit area of the retina. In other words, it depends on the width of the photoreceptors which are not the same from one individual. Where they are densely arranged visual acuity will be better and will go under 6/6, which is taken as normal. Minimum Angle value is separable and is a factor in determining the visual acuity.

Minimum discriminatione. An opportunity of cone cells in the retina to detect the slightest difference in the intensity of light falling on them. Thus, it is tonal contrasts of the image formed on the retina.^[5]

Visual acuity is tested separately for each eye. One eye is covered with a piece of paper or easy placement of the hand to the eye. The fingers should be patient because the eye can see through them.^[7] From patient to recognize or read the figures on the blackboard on the wall before him, going from larger to smaller. The figures are in the form of letters, numbers, incomplete circles, the letter E facing in different directions, stickers for children itn.ovie figures in ophthalmology known as optotype (optotipi ad visum determinandum), and each piece is one optotype. Usually they are written on a blackboard and arranged by size.

Snellen's panel optotipi test of visual acuity was first introduced in ophthalmology, and today the most widely used. It font size ie lines that make up one of the boundaries of the letter is an angle of a minute (1') and the point at an angle of 5 minutes (5'), as viewed from a certain distance. It can best be understood if we take the letter E, and analyzed through optotipite of Snell. The Bukavu E has three black and two white feet feet. Each at an angle of 1', and the whole case will be the sum of five feet and then takes an angle of 5' when viewed from a certain distance. Thus, the letter E in the first row forms this angle at a distance of 60m; letter of the second line at a distance of 36m; letters from the third row at a distance of 24m; fourth of 18m; fifth of 12m etc.

With this grading the size of the letters determines the visual acuity according to the formula proposed from Snell, which reads: $V = d / D$, where d represents the distance from which the letters were read, and the distance D from which they should be read. For example, if the patient sees only the front row means that, its visual acuity was 6/60, which means that his eye at a distance of 6m sees what normal eye sees of 60m. Adequate proportions have Visual acuity above 6/60; 6/36; 6/24; 6/18; 6/12; 6/9; 6/6 is considered normal vision. Many people, especially among the young, have a better appearance than normal and it is noted as Visual acuity 6/5; or 6/4 or 6/3 even sharper. This ability of their macula to see more clearly than others due to the higher density of cones per unit area (smaller minimum separabile), compared to the minimum separable among people who normally see.

Snellen's boards with 7 or 10 lines are still used in ophthalmic institutions, the principle of distance of 6 m.

The celebration of visual acuity can be performed using the decimal system ranging from 0.1; through 0.2; 0.3; 0.4; 0.5; 0.6; 0.7; 0.8; 0.9 do 1.0. If the patient is seen in 1.0 that visual acuity is indicated as 1.20 (6/5); 1.5 (6/4) or 2.0 (6/3). The boards with a decimal mark, test symbols are arranged in 13 rows.

2.2. Light

Light is the visible part of the spectrum of electromagnetic radiation. Situated between ultraviolet and infrared rays with a wavelength of 400- 700 nm. Light beam is a term used to describe the radius of the concentric waveforms. A group of parallel light rays is called a beam. Light beam on its way is subjected to refraction, diffraction, reflection and absorption of other phenomena typical of wave movements.

2.3. Refraction of the eye

2.3.1 General terms. The phenomena of changing the direction of stretching of light rays when transferred to another locality known as infringement or refraction. Light beam on its way is subjected to refraction, diffraction, reflection and absorption of other phenomena typical of wave movements. The notion of light refraction of the eye are referred all normal and abnormal violations when she goes through the eye media to reach the retina.

The speed of light in a vacuum is 300 000 m/s, and the index of refraction is $N = 1$. For air $N = 1.00029$, so in practice accepted that equals vacuum, water is $N = 1.33$, for glass $N = 1.5$ to 1.75 , depending on the nature of the glass.

2.3.2. Refraction of light. When the light beam falling on a surface at a right angle, he is breached, although changing the wavelength, and thus speed. If the light beam falls at an angle of an environment that is homogeneous and has parallel surfaces, it will be broken and the input and output side but will not change the direction because the angle input and output are equal. Ophthalmic prisms used in ophthalmology is made of refractive material, a wedge shape with three sides, top and base. If we place the prism of the path of the rays converge to form an image, the image will be formed to the base of the prism, used in making optical prisms and string instruments. Prisms low power incorporated in glasses for glasses and contact lens. Prism's diopter (pD), as a separate concept in terms of diopter lens, a prism effect to turn the light beam by 1 cm length of 1m.

2.3.3. Plus and minus lenses. If you put together two prisms with bases in the center, we receive rallying or plus lens that light rays passing through it to converge and create a real focus behind the lens.

Pickup or plus lens used for the correction of hyperopia eye, because rays to him imaginary intersect behind the retina and lens plus they do focus on the retina. Scattered or minus lens used for correction of myopia because rays myopic eye cut in front of the retina and minus lens will focus on the retina.

The strength of a breach of the lens is measured in diopter according to the focus pickup lens does when rays pass through it to the formula $D = 1 / d$, where D is the power in diopter, d is the distance in meters. In other words, a pickup lens has a strength of 1D if the rays focus at a distance of 1m.

2.3.4. Astigmatic lenses. Conversely spherical lens, which has the same refractive curvature and the same strength in all meridians, astigmatiskata lens is made so that the two main meridians standing angle 90° different curved and different breaks. Astigmatiskite lenses are divided into cylinder and toric. The cylindrical lenses represent a snapshot of the cylinder, whereby one side is flat while the other follows the radius of the cylinder from which it was made. Toric lenses resemble a snapshot of the barrel, so to them and the two main meridians stand at an angle of 90° . The astigmatic toric lenses, a larger proportion avoided monocular aniseiconia characteristic of astigmatism a greater degree.

2.4. Refraction and growth

The child is usually born as prescient and mild degree of long-sightedness remains mostly during childhood. As the child grows, change, and axial length and refraction of the cornea and lens, but the relationship between the three main participants in the refraction usually evolve towards emetropy. During the growth of the child relationships are changing: antero axis increases, especially the cornea and the lens particularly aplaniraa and reduce refractive power. The reconciliation between the three main actors of refraction leads to emetropia. These relationships may be explained by the introduction of ultrasonic biometrics. It is assumed that there is a process for emetropisation which coordinates refractive factors such as axial length, radius of curvature the cornea, anterior chamber depth and lens refractive power.

2.4.1. Variations in refraction. Refraction of the eye in the first days and months is usually about 2D hyperopia. 7-year prevalent hyperopia, and before puberty and during adolescence prevalent myopia. There is no difference between the sexes, but there is a difference between races, people of the yellow race largely myopia. In terms of the amount and type of refractive anomaly when it is greater than + 4D greater than -6D, axial anomaly is (more or less antero axis).

2.4.2. Inheriting the refraction of the eye. Refraction abnormalities are inherited or created over a lifetime. The primary role heredity plays, and of less importance to environmental factors and lifestyle. Process emetropisation of eye occurs mainly to the 4th year of life. During that process, the eye should be trained equally well for viewing away and watch close. However, often run deviations and form a more eye capable of seeing far (hyperopia or longsightedness) and more trained eye for seeing close (myopia or shortsightedness).

2.4.3. Determination of refraction of the eye. Subjectively, routine determination of refraction is done with plates of paper with optotipi and a box of trial lenses. Subjective determination of refraction is often not enough to gain real insight into refractory error is especially true for children up to age 10. Objectively determining the refraction of the eye may be using ophthalmoscopy, refractometry (determines the total refraction of the eye, the magnitude of astigmatism and its axis) and the most reliable method retinoscopy, which is based on the principle of moving shadow that appears in the pupil of the eye, where it will focus a beam of light. ^[5]

2.4.4. Types of refraction of the eye: Emmetropia. The eye is emetropic if light rays that fall on the eye, do focus on the retina. Since parallel rays coming from infinity usually said that the eye sees indefinitely. In practice, it is considered that all the light rays coming from the distance of more than 6m, falling on the retina as parallel rays.

Ammetropia. The eye is ametropic if light rays focus on human retina, but the focus is generated before (myopia) or imaginary behind the retina (hyperopia).

2.5. Anomalies in the refraction

2.5.1. Myopia (short-sightedness, My) is a discrepancy in the refractive power and axial length of the eye, resulting in a convergence of parallel light rays at a focal point located in front of the retina. ^[6] Myopia or shortsightedness is refractive anomaly in which the patient sees far. ^[5] Approximately 25% of individuals 20-30 years have less than refractive -1D. ^[6] Myopia can be benign (school), which does not pass -6D. occurs in school time and cease to increase when growth stops, usually after puberty, and malignant myopia that occurs mainly in infancy, slower or quicker progress, especially in puberty and reaches the high value of -15 to -30D, and sometimes more.

New research showed that accommodation plays no role in the development of myopia. Myopia occurs and increases due to the unclear image created on the retina. Accordingly, the retina would be improved picture, stimulates factors responsible for hardened refraction and thus causes myopia or its growth. Accordingly, myopia and astigmatism, have you used to find on myopia not deteriorate. ^[5]

2.5.2. Hyperopia (Long-sightedness, Hy) means discrepancy between a refractory power and axial length of the eye in which parallel light rays converge at a focal point posterior to the retina. ^[6] The term hyperopia should mean that the patient looks good on given but poorly to close, which corresponds to the truth, because hyperopia see no good neither to close nor far. However, the patient has major difficulties when looking to close, because when looking at far used their accommodation if hyperopi not great visual acuity for far may be reversed. ^[5]

Approximately 20% of persons aged 20-30 years have refractive exceeding + 1D. More newborns have low hyperopia (infant hyperopia). It is reduced in the first years of life. In advanced age, refraction approaches myopia due to sclerosis of the nucleus of the lens. Hyperopia is a major cause of convergent strabismus and amblyopia in children. So, hyperopi should therefore be detected and promptly treated, especially if there is a difference in refraction between one and the other eye (anisometropia). And plain and high hyperopia can be corrected with surgery, but the results are much weaker than those achieved in myopia. ^[5]

2.5.3. Astigmatism (As) is derived from the Greek word *stigma* (point) and literally means the absence of a focal point. Disorder characterized with distortion of refractive media for which, parallel rays do not converge at one point. ^[6] It creates focus, because the curvature of the cornea and the lens in different meridians is different. The one meridian violates Nayak, the other, however. Always the largest curvature in relation to the smallest stand at an angle of 90°. The meridian which is most curved, vehemently breaks and meridian which is at least curved, however breaks. Those two are main meridians. Patients with astigmatism see everything distorted picture. Attempts to compensate for refractory error using of accommodation leading to symptoms such as a burning sensation in the eyes or headaches.

Astigmatism, usually exist from birth as inherited refractive anomaly, which may change throughout life. 42% of all people have astigmatism greater than or equal to 0,5D. at approximately 20%, the astigmatism is greater than 1D and requires optical correction. Ordinary astigmatism corrected by planocilindric lenses and complex astigmatism are prescribed combined lens consisting of spherical and cylindrical components.

2.5.4. Anisometropia is the difference in refractive power between the two eyes. The reason for the different development of both eyes is not known, but is primarily a congenital disease which shows a higher incidence as familial. Children are not aware that their appearance is abnormal. But there is a tendency to strabismus due binolularnata function, which can remain underdeveloped. Where the correction of anisometropia results in unacceptable anisoconia, patients will experience unpleasant visual sensations of double vision. Contact lenses, or in rare cases, surgical treatment is indicated. Patients who do not tolerate contact lenses, need incorporating intraocular lens. Correction unilateral afakija unilateral glasses is contraindicated because anisoconia resulting in

approximately 25%.^[6] Unadjusted anisometropia can cause amblyopia. In hyperopia eyes and anisometropia less than 2 diopter, often leads to amblyopia.^[5]

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a descriptive and population-based study of a cross-section which includes 890 pre-school children from four kindergartens in Stip, Republic of Macedonia. It represents a pilot study, part of a screening program for visual disorders in pre-school children. In order to measure the prevalence of visual disorders in preschool children, there are pilot studies in several cities in the Republic of Macedonia.

The children were examined by an ophthalmologist during their stay in the nursery, presence and collaboration with their teachers / teachers. Children whose results deviate from the reference values are directed to additional eye exam with cycloplegic refraction in PHI "Pance Karagozov"-Stip. Screening is performed in 2WIN mobile binocular refractometer for detection of refractory errors, anomalies of the type and measuring pupillary parameters. Obtained and measurements of eye examination every child (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Example of patient results

The principle of measuring is a quirky photo-retinoscopy. Infrared (IR) are projected through the pupils of the patient to the retina. Depending refractory error, the reflected light is broken up and form a distinctive light pattern within the pupil. 2WIN camera measures the spherical and cylindrical power and axis through interpretation of reflected light beams. Reference values when interpreting the results of this study are shown in Table 1.

	Parameter	Values
1.	Myopia	Sph < -1.75 D
2.	Hyperopia	Sph >3.50 D
3.	Astigmatism	Cyl >1.50 D
4.	Anisometropia	Diff. >1.00 D
5.	Anisocoria	Diff. >1.00 mm
6.	Angle	>4.5°

Table 1. Parameters and reference values.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study involved 890 children aged 2.5 to 6 years enrolled in kindergartens in Stip. Of these, 428 (48.09%) were male and 462 (51.91%) females.

Chart 1 shows the prevalence of visual impairment among participants. The analysis shows that 488 (54.83%) of respondents had results within the normal range. Deviation from the reference values of the tested parameters were observed in 402 (45.17%) of respondents. The large number of deviations due to the large number of individual performers with only deviation was in the sixth parameter or angle > 4.5°.




Chart 1. Prevalence of visual disorders: It is about 280 children or 31.46% of the respondents in that it is the presence of strabismus. Refractory errors were observed in 119 (13:37%) children without anisometropia refractory error (or limits) was observed in 3 (0.34%) children, while anisocoria not found in none of the children.




Chart 2. Prevalence of refractive anomalies: It may be noted that the most frequently refractory anomaly is astigmatism, present in 12.13% of the respondents, or 108 children. Myopia and hiperopijata are significantly underrepresented with 13 (1.46%) and 5 (0.56%) respondents respectively.

It may be noted that the prevalence of hyperopia was higher among children from China, Iran and India, than in children from C. Britain, the US, South African state, Nepal and Macedonia. It is interesting to note that the prevalence of hyperopia in our study is the lowest compared to other studies, which may be due to the established reference values when interpreting the results. Often, it is difficult to compare one study to another because of different designs and methods used in them. Table 2 shows the results of selected population-based study to compare the results.

Study	Country	Number of subjects	Age	Hyperopia (definition)	Hyperopia (prevalence) %	Miopia (definition)	Miopia (prevalence) %
Barnes et al. ⁸	Great Britain	7600	7	>2.00 D	5.9	≤-1.00 D	1.1
Preslan et al. ⁹	USA	680	4-7	>4.00 D	0.9	<-0.5 D	3.1
Naidoo et al. ¹⁰	South Africa	458	6	≥2.00 D	3.8	≤-0.50 D	1.6
He et al. ¹¹	China	295	6	≥2.00 D	14.6	≤-0.50 D	2.7
Murthy et al. ⁴	India	494	6	≥2.00 D	13.0	≤-0.50 D	5.9
Jamali et al. ¹³	Iran	815	6	≥2.00 D	20.7	≤-0.50 D	1.7
Adhikari et al. ¹	Nepal	484	3-5	≥1.50 D	2.48	≤-1.00 D	24.17
This study	Macedonia	890	2,5-6	≥3,50 D	0,56	≤-0.75	1,46

Table 1. Prevalence of myopia and hyperopia in school-entry age children reported from selected population-based studies

The prevalence of myopia in our study is relatively low at 1.46%, close to the prevalence of myopia in studies of Iran, South Africa and B. Britain. The other said studies, the prevalence of myopia is a little higher, but significant discrepancy study from Nepal where it is 24.17%, which may be due to the fact that in the same study

77% of respondents are members of the yellow race (in different studies, we estimate that among them, there are more myopia prevalence) first although the prevalence of myopia in our study is among the lowest, it is possible for its overestimation, because it is not about cikloplegichna refraction. With a view to a definitive assessment and treatment, children are sent to additional eye examination.

Study	Country	Number of subjects	Age	Astigmatism (definition)	Astigmatism (prevalence%)
Logan et al. ¹³	Great Britain	352	6-7	≥ 1.00	10.3
Chisanga et al. ¹⁴	Zambia	507	6-14	/	7.1
Naidoo et al. ¹⁰	South Africa	4002	5-15	≥ 0.75	14.6
He et al. ¹¹	China	4322	5-15	≥ 0.75	42.7
Murty et al. ⁴	India	5694	5-15	> 0.75	14.6
Jamali et al. ¹²	Iran	815	6	≥ 0.75	19.60
Adhikari et al. ¹	Nepal	484	3-5	≥ 1.00	5.17
This study	Macedonia	890	2.5-6	> 1.50	12,13

Table 3. Prevalence of astigmatism according to selected population based studies

The prevalence of astigmatism in this study proved to be significantly higher than the prevalence of myopia and hyperopia. Compared with other selected studies (Table 4), the prevalence of astigmatism is close to the values obtained in studies C. Britanija¹³, Zambija¹⁴, South Africa¹⁰, Indija⁴, and Nepal¹. Moreover, although the value of prevalence does not differ significantly, both in Iran and China, it is a value that should not be overlooked. The number of children with hyperopia and myopia is small and accordingly does not constitute a representative sample. In terms of gender distribution of refractory anomalies in different studies obtained different results.

A study by “Naidoo et al.10” and “Giordano et al.15” found no association myopia and hyperopi a sex while “He et al.11” and “Chisanga et al.14” myopia associated with females. In view of astigmatism in this study, as in “Naidoo et al.10” and “Chisanga et al.14” found no significant association of astigmatism with gender.

In contrast, other studies as “Murthy et al.4 and He et al.11”, point of connection of astigmatism with the female. The gender distribution of hyperopia, myopia and astigmatism is shown in Table 4.

Gender	Refractory anomaly		
	Miopia	Hyperopia	Asthigmatism
Male	1 (20%)	6 (46.15%)	50 (46.30%)
Female	4 (80%)	7 (53.85%)	58 (53.70%)

Table 4. Gender distribution of refractive anomalies

In terms of anisometropia, the prevalence of children with difference in spherical equivalent $> 1D$ was 2.47% (22), with one difference $> 1.5D$ have 1.01% (9). A possible cause of refractive amblyopia, it is necessary for it to be timely and appropriate treatment in order to prevent deterioration and the occurrence of irreversible changes.

The biggest benefit of this study is precisely the ability to prevent the development of amblyopia in children and significantly improve their quality of life.

5. CONCLUSION

Results showed that a significant percentage of preschool children have refractory anomaly, with astigmatism clearly dominates in terms of prevalence. Undetected or untreated, refractory anomalies in the future could adversely affect educative progress of the child and undermine its potential for learning. The earlier a diagnosis, the better is the prognosis for the type and intervention. Children should not bear the burden of reduced visual acuity that affects the daily lives and learning. Important benefits of this study revealed anisometropia,

refractory anomalies, and the increased angle as an indicator of the possibility of developing strabismus. Their early detection leads to a timely and successful treatment that no child would be deprived of an excellent visual acuity.

According to the study results, we recommend regular screening program for visual disorders in preschool children to be incorporated into the health program of the Ministry of Health, in order to timely diagnosis and treatment of visual disorders.

[1] REFERENCES

- [2] Adhikari, S., Paudel, N., Adhikari, P., Shrestha, G. S., & Shrestha, J. B. (2013). Screening Preschool Children for Visual Disorders: A Pilot Study. *Optometry & Visual performance*, 1(6)202-207 202-203.
- [3] Groenewoud, J.H., Tjiam, A.M., Lantau, V.K., Hoogetveen, W.C., Tjeerd H. N. de Faber, J., Juttmann, R.E., de Koning, H.J., Simonsz, H.J. (2010). Rotterdam Amblyopia Screening Effectiveness Study: Detection and Causes of Amblyopia in a Large Birth Cohort. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 51, 3476-3484.
- [4] Kvarnström, G. Jakobsson, P. Lennerstrand, G. (2001). Visual screening of Swedish children: An ophthalmological evaluation. *Acta Ophthalmologica*, 79(3), 240-244.
- [5] Murthy, G.V., Gupta, S.K., Ellwein, L.B., Muñoz, S.R., Pokharel, G.P., Sanga, L. Bachani, D. (2002) Refractive Error in Children in an Urban Population in New Delhi. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 43, 623-631
- [6] Janev, K.G. (2002). *General Ophtalmology*. Skopje: Menora
- [7] Gerhard K. Lang, M. (2000). *Ophtalmology*. New York: Thieme Stuttgart.
- [8] Cynthia A. Bredford, M. (2010). *Basic Ophtalmology*. Skopje: Tabernakul.
- [9] Barnes, M., Williams, C., Lumb, R., Harrad, R.A. et al. (2001). The prevalence of refractive errors in a UK birth cohort of children aged 7 years. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 42:S389
- [10] Preslan, M.W. Novak, A. (1996). Baltimore Vision Screening Project. *Ophtalmology*, 103, 105-9
- [11] Naidoo, K.S., Raghunandan, A., Mashige, K.P., Govender, P., et al. (2003). Refractive error and visual impairment in African children in South Africa. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*; 44:3764-70.
- [12] He, M., Zeng, J., Liu, Y., Xu, J., et al. (2004) Refractive error and visual impairment in urban children in southern China. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 45:793-9.
- [13] P Jamali et al. (2009). Refractive Errors and Amblyopia in Children Entering School: Shahrood, Iran *Optom Vis Sci* 86 (4), 364-369.
- [14] Logan, N.S., Shah, P., Rudnicka, A.R., Gilman, B., G. Owen, C.G. (2012). Uncorrected Refractive Error in School Children in England, UK. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 53, 2311
- [15] Chisanga, K., Funjika, M. (2016) Refractive errors in school-age children as diagnosed at Arthur Davison Children's Hospital Eye Clinic Department. 3(3):173-177
- [16] Giordano, L., Friedman, D.S., Michael X. Repka, M.X. (2009). Prevalence of Refractive Error among Preschool Children in an Urban Population: The Baltimore Pediatric Eye Disease Study. *Ophtalmology*, 116(4): 739–746.
- [17] Köchler, L., Stigmar, G. (1978) Visual disorders in 7-year-old children with and without previous vision screening. *Acta Paediatrica*, 67(3): 373–377